

From: [Harriman, Jeffrey](#)
To: [Mark Fruehauf](#); [Pope, Michelle](#); [Winterscheidt, Paul](#); [Prell, Frog](#)
Subject: Re: Officer LeRette update request
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2024 12:10:06 PM

Exhibit 514

Yes, Inv LeRette was removed from administrative leave and has returned to her narcotics investigative duties. I do not know where she is currently working (Duluth or Carlton). I am also not involved with any current matters or status of this matter. I do not know if a resolution is near or what the next steps may be.

I do know the investigator's report has been received by the City. It's my understanding the city attorney's office has the document.

I'm sorry I can't be of further assistance. Hopefully City Attorney Prell may be of more assistance. I understand your concerns with regard to possible impeachment issues. City HR Director Cammi Janigo may also be of assistance.

Jeff

From: Fruehauf, Mark
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2024 3:41 PM
To: Pope, Michelle ; Harriman, Jeffrey ; Winterscheidt, Paul ; Prell, Frog
Subject: Officer LeRette update request
Good afternoon,

The purpose of this email is to confirm what I have heard today, that Officer LeRette has been restored to active duty, and to request an update as to where the internal process regarding the issue that led to her administrative leave currently sits. My understanding is that the internal process surrounding this issue has not been concluded and there has not been any sort of finding or adjudication one way or the other as to whether any wrongdoing occurred. To be clear, I obviously lack any information to say one way or the other at this point whether any sort of wrongdoing occurred and an officer is entitled to due process when addressing such an issue.

However, as was the case with the Amotozio trial earlier this month, I am not able ethically to proceed to conclusion in any case involving Officer LeRette (unless the defense waives the issue and wants to proceed to conclusion, as Amotozio did) until this internal process is concluded and there has been a finding on the issue. If there is any sort of finding of wrongdoing, it may serve as impeachment evidence that I would be required to disclose in any case where she would be needed as a witness (something I won't know until this process concludes).

I bring this up because I would be concerned with this situation with any officer who, while under investigation for or having an internal process play out that may ultimately result in a finding of dishonesty, is restored to active duty without this issue first being resolved. It makes it impossible for me to appraise the strength of my cases and the ability to proceed with

charges or resolution when I know a necessary witness has such a pending issue that is not yet concluded.

I am not sure what Officer LeRette's current duties are since her restoration to active duty, but I must tell you that any cases referred to me that stem from arrests or search warrants involving her, or any officer involved in such a pending process, cannot move forward until that process is concluded.

I am unsure to whose attention I need to bring this issue, but I require an update from someone, whether that person is on this email chain or not, who is able to answer my questions about the next likely steps and timeline for this internal process to reach conclusion. Until then, I would strongly suggest that she not be put in the position where she is making arrests or referring search warrants for review, because until this issue is resolved and I am able to answer the question of what ethically I will be required to disclose in discovery, I do not know how I could proceed with such a case.

If anyone is able to provide additional information or would like to discuss further, please let me know.

Mark Fruehauf

Douglas County District Attorney
1313 Belknap St., Room 201
Superior, WI 54880
(715)-395-1218 – Office

WWW.Souphnutz.net